

2641 / 36

Community

Design Survey Collect Responses **Analyze Results**

- [View Summary](#)
- [Browse Responses](#)
- [Filter Responses](#)
- [Crosstab Responses](#)
- [Download Responses](#)
- [Share Responses](#)

Default Report

Displaying 29 of 45 respondents

Response Type:
Anonymous Response

Collector:
Local plan review
(Web Link)

Custom Value:
empty

IP Address:
empty

Response Started:
Thursday, August 15, 2013 8:03 25 AM

Response Modified:
Thursday, August 15, 2013 11:07:16 AM

1. Amount and distribution of development - which option do you prefer and are there any alternatives we should consider?

Option 1: Retain the current strategy, directing development towards the largest settlements that have existing shops, services and facilities

2. Housing - which option do you prefer and are there any alternative options we should consider?

Option 2: Revise policies according to up-to-date and relevant evidence about matters such as housing need, demand and viability.

3. Employment - which option do you prefer and are there any alternative options we should consider?

Option 2: Follow the recommendations of the Employment Land Review, reducing the overall target for employment growth within use classes B1, B2 and B8 whilst encouraging the development of smaller sites.

4. Retail - which option do you prefer and are there any alternative options we should consider?

Option 1: Continue with a similar policy approach to the one previously adopted, which protects and enhances town centres, applying a sequential approach that directs development to the town centre first (in accordance with national policy) and provides for some retail growth in Tiverton in line with the Retail Study's recommendations

5. Infrastructure - which option do you prefer and are there any alternative options we should consider?

Other (please specify) - Whilst option 1 is, for the most part, marginally preferable, the danger is that sufficient funding will not be available to provide the offsite infrastructure and the necessary road construction will not take place at all. This is a bonus for the developer, who will simply plead poverty at the end of the project, leaving the inhabitants of the area and any new development to their inevitable traffic congestion fate, which is the MAJOR concern of all who are likely to be affected by the proposed Tiverton EUE. Nothing should be allowed to go ahead until total funding for the access road from the A361 has been secured

6. Environment - which option do you prefer and are there any alternative options we should consider?

Option 1 Retain a strategic policy similar to the existing Policy COR2, adapted as necessary to take account of any changes in national policy.

7. Tiverton - which option do you prefer and are there alternative options we should consider?

Option 2: Identify new locations for long-term strategic growth in Tiverton, other than further expansion to the east

8. Cullompton - which option do you prefer and are there any alternative options we should consider?

No Response

9. Crediton - which option do you prefer and are there any alternative options we should consider?

No Response

10. Bampton - which option do you prefer and are there any alternative options we should consider?

No Response

11. Villages - which option do you prefer and are there any alternative options we should consider?

No Response

12. Managing Development - which option do you prefer and are there any alternative options we should consider?

Option 2 - Amend the policies in response to any updates to national policy or guidance, and any lessons learned through the implementation of LP3 policies

13. What is your name? (Anonymous responses will not be registered)

G Ford

14. Please provide your postal address (your response will not be registered without an address)

House name/number: - 3

Street: - Uplowman Road

Village/town: - Tiverton

County: - Devon

Postcode: - EX16 4LU

