

836 / 45

Community

Design Survey Collect Responses Analyze Results

- [View Summary](#)
- [Browse Responses](#)
- [Filter Responses](#)
- [Crosstab Responses](#)
- [Download Responses](#)
- [Share Responses](#)

Default Report

Displaying 9 of 45 respondents

<b>Response Type:</b> Anonymous Response	<b>Collector:</b> Local plan review (Web Link)
<b>Custom Value:</b> empty	<b>IP Address:</b> empty
<b>Response Started:</b> Sunday, July 14, 2013 4:27 16 PM	<b>Response Modified:</b> Sunday, July 14, 2013 4:41:59 PM

**1. Amount and distribution of development - which option do you prefer and are there any alternatives we should consider?**

Other (please specify) - Make more use of junction 27 for development

**2. Housing - which option do you prefer and are there any alternative options we should consider?**

Other (please specify) - Make more use of junction 27 for development

**3. Employment - which option do you prefer and are there any alternative options we should consider?**

Option 2: Follow the recommendations of the Employment Land Review, reducing the overall target for employment growth within use classes B1, B2 and B8 whilst encouraging the development of smaller sites.

**4. Retail - which option do you prefer and are there any alternative options we should consider?**

Other (please specify) - Again more use should be made of junction 27

**5. Infrastructure - which option do you prefer and are there any alternative options we should consider?**

Option 2 A greater number of smaller development allocations require minimal infrastructure within the site. The Community Infrastructure Levy is spent on all types of infrastructure in the local area

**6. Environment - which option do you prefer and are there any alternative options we should consider?**

Other (please specify) - Make more use of junction 27 for development and employment

**7. Tiverton - which option do you prefer and are there alternative options we should consider?**

Option 2 Identify new locations for long-term strategic growth in Tiverton, other than further expansion to the east

**8. Cullompton - which option do you prefer and are there any alternative options we should consider?**

Option 2 Find an alternative growth option such as a different location for an urban extension, or multiple smaller sites around Cullompton, as long as highways infrastructure can be delivered.

**9. Crediton - which option do you prefer and are there any alternative options we should consider?**

Option 1. Continue with a strategy of under-provision in Crediton, due to the physical constraints of the town

**10. Bampton - which option do you prefer and are there any alternative options we should consider?**

Option 2: Re-classify Bampton so its development status matches the designated villages in Mid Devon, where expansion may be more limited.

**11. Villages - which option do you prefer and are there any alternative options we should consider?**

Option 2: Take a more permissive approach to development in villages (see Amount and Distribution of Development section).

**12. Managing Development - which option do you prefer and are there any alternative options we should consider?**

Option 2: Amend the policies in response to any updates to national policy or guidance, and any lessons learned through the implementation of LP3 policies.

**13. What is your name? (Anonymous responses will not be registered)**

Dennis Bellamy

**14. Please provide your postal address (your response will not be registered without an address)**

House name/number - 15

Street: - Pool Anthony Drive

Village/town: - Tiverton

County - Devon

Postcode: - EX16 4 LT

