

3782 / 53

Community

Design Survey Collect Responses **Analyze Results**

- [View Summary](#)
- [Browse Responses](#)
- [Filter Responses](#)
- [Crosstab Responses](#)
- [Download Responses](#)
- [Share Responses](#)

Default Report

Displaying 45 of 45 respondents

<b>Response Type:</b> Anonymous Response	<b>Collector:</b> Local plan review (Web Link)
<b>Custom Value:</b> empty	<b>IP Address:</b> empty
<b>Response Started:</b> Monday, August 19, 2013 11:48:31 AM	<b>Response Modified:</b> Monday, August 19, 2013 3:39:54 PM

**1. Amount and distribution of development - which option do you prefer and are there any alternatives we should consider?**

Other (please specify) - Whilst our client's preference is clearly to retain the current strategy, in the sense that the bulk of new residential development is to be directed towards the larger settlements (e.g. Cullompton), it is noted that the Council has yet to publish its SHMA and therefore cannot yet rule out a potential new settlement or significant village expansion, in addition to the expansion of larger settlements, should this prove necessary.

**2. Housing - which option do you prefer and are there any alternative options we should consider?**

Option 1: Retain current policies, i.e. a target of 100 affordable dwellings per year; 35% affordable housing required on eligible sites; affordable housing required for sites over 4 dwellings in the towns and over 2 dwellings elsewhere; and housing densities of 50-75 dwellings per hectare in town centres, 35-55 elsewhere in towns, and 30-40 in villages

**3. Employment - which option do you prefer and are there any alternative options we should consider?**

Option 2: Follow the recommendations of the Employment Land Review, reducing the overall target for employment growth within use classes B1, B2 and B8 whilst encouraging the development of smaller sites.

**4. Retail - which option do you prefer and are there any alternative options we should consider?**

No Response

**5. Infrastructure - which option do you prefer and are there any alternative options we should consider?**

Option 1: Urban extensions incorporate specific items of infrastructure such as schools, community facilities and open space, while offsite infrastructure (e.g. a relief road) is funded by all development liable to pay the Community Infrastructure Levy

**6. Environment - which option do you prefer and are there any alternative options we should consider?**

No Response

**7. Tiverton - which option do you prefer and are there alternative options we should consider?**

No Response

**8. Cullompton - which option do you prefer and are there any alternative options we should consider?**

Option 1: Retain current strategy - North West Cullompton Urban Extension as the primary site allocation and the Eastern Relief Road to pass through the CCA fields.

**9. Crediton - which option do you prefer and are there any alternative options we should consider?**

No Response

**10. Bampton - which option do you prefer and are there any alternative options we should consider?**

No Response

**11. Villages - which option do you prefer and are there any alternative options we should consider?**

No Response

**12. Managing Development - which option do you prefer and are there any alternative options we should consider?**

Option 2 Amend the policies in response to any updates to national policy or guidance, and any lessons learned through the implementation of LP3 policies.

**13. What is your name? (Anonymous responses will not be registered)**

PM Asset Management

**14. Please provide your postal address (your response will not be registered without an address)**

House name/number: - c/o GL Hearn

Street: - Queen Square House, 18 - 21 Queen Square

Village/Town: - Bristol

Postcode: - BS1 4NH

