

2088 / 65

Community

Design Survey

Collect Responses

Analyze Results

View Summary

Default Report

Browse Responses

Filter Responses

Displaying 43 of 45 respondents

Crosslab Responses

Download Responses

Share Responses

Response Type:
Anonymous Response

Collector:
Local plan review
(Web Link)

Custom Value:
empty

IP Address:
empty

Response Started:
Monday, August 19, 2013 8:53 20 AM

Response Modified:
Monday, August 19, 2013 9:14:34 AM

1. Amount and distribution of development - which option do you prefer and are there any alternatives we should consider?

Other (please specify) - Option One except that Bampton should be treated as a larger village.

2. Housing - which option do you prefer and are there any alternative options we should consider?

Other (please specify) - A synthesis of Options One and Two (they are not mutually exclusive)

3. Employment - which option do you prefer and are there any alternative options we should consider?

Other (please specify) - Option Two is preferable, but Bampton should be accorded protection from any standard formula for development in certain classes. Junction 27 will eventually become viable.

4. Retail - which option do you prefer and are there any alternative options we should consider?

Other (please specify) - Option One; though it is not clear to me why there is so much detail for this option, as oppsed to the lack of detail given in Option Two.

5. Infrastructure - which option do you prefer and are there any alternative options we should consider?

Other (please specify) - Option One seems preferable. But Option Two may be of more use to certain communities. Not clear why there is this need for an either/or approach on this one. Horses for courses

6. Environment - which option do you prefer and are there any alternative options we should consider?

Option 2. Replace the policy with a broader environmental strategy that sets out the principles underpinning more detailed policies on subjects such as landscape protection, flood prevention and heritage assels

7. Tiverton - which option do you prefer and are there alternative options we hshould consider?

Other (please specify) - Option One is at least relatively clear-cut. No particular preference from this Respondent!

8. Cullompton - which option do you prefer and are there any alternative options we should consider?

Other (please specify) - Again, Option One is preferable to the vaguer Option Two, regrettably. No particular preference from this Respondent

9. Crediton - which option do you prefer and are there any alternative options we should consider?

Other (please specify) - Interesting to note the "physical constraints of the town" mentioned in Option One! Option Two, though vague, appears to be the already preferred option. No particular preference from this Respondent

10. Bampton - which option do you prefer and are there any alternative options we should consider?

Option 2. Re-classify Bampton so its development status matches the designated villages in Mid Devon, where expansion may be more limited.

11. Villages - which option do you prefer and are there any alternative options we should consider?

Option 1. Continue with current strategy but reassess which villages should be defined as settlements suitable for development.

12. Managing Development - which option do you prefer and are there any alternative options we should consider?

Option 2 Amend the policies in response to any updates to national policy or guidance, and any lessons learned through the implementation of LP3 policies.

13. What is your name? (Anonymous responses will not be registered)

Francis Philip James Stoner

14. Please provide your postal address (your response will not be registered without an address)

House name/number: - The Old Post Office Cottage

Street: - Morebath

Village/town: - via TIVERTON

County: - Devon

Postcode: - EX16 9AL

