



CIL

ID NO: 12
 REP NO: 2
 ACK: 8.8.12
 SUMMARISED:

Draft Community Infrastructure Levy Charging Schedule – Form for Representations

Please enter your personal details below

	Personal Details	Agent Details (if applicable)
Title	MC	
First Name	EDWARD	
Last Name	JEHNS	
Job Title	RESTRIED	
Organisation	WILLAND PARISH Cll	
Address 1	2 DANSON CROSS	
Address 2	WILLAND	
Address 3	DEVON	
Address 4		
Post Code	EX15 2QB	
Telephone		
Email		
Signature		

Representations on the draft Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) Charging Schedule should relate to either the level of the charge or its variance across Mid Devon, or to the supporting evidence.

What aspect of the draft CIL Charging Schedule does this representation relate to? (Fill in one box).

Paragraph	
Omission, General, Other (please specify)	

Please explain below why this part of the draft CIL Charging Schedule is incorrect or inappropriate

See where the text talks about Infrastructure but then moves the process that will see the bulk of CIL spent on industrial development being allowed to get off cost free.
 It is like a double edged sword, it charges a certain amount more cash for local villages. See it moves the goal posts and send the small amount of real money into a central pot, as which will see development away from where the money was raised. Given any reality of this document, it would see significant cold and more in be dropped into the three/four towns, while the villages have no access to enhance where ever Infrastructure they have in place.

Please explain, as clearly as possible, how the draft CIL Charging Schedule should be altered to make it correct or appropriate

If I have read the comments correctly, I have serious misgivings about what the CIL will be in villages. The existing S106 gave some allocated funding for specialist projects. As I see it the CIL will in effect see the four main towns benefit at the expense of the villages, especially those whose early development failed to put in place the all important upgrade in the day to day requirements, i.e. medical facilities, pre schools.

If you are unsatisfied with the supporting evidence to the draft CIL Charging Schedule please explain, as clearly as possible, why the evidence is unsatisfactory

I feel that I have addressed this question in the two previous questions.
While the old Planning Act needed to be updated, it is the level of what appears to be 'hit & miss' decisions, which are not being drafted as a proper level 'Play Field'.
Diversity is ~~being~~ farming, allowing Industry on agricultural sites, the opposite Industry on Agricultural sites, is not acceptable. Nor is the plan that sees villages contributing to the larger town future infrastructure.

Please indicate whether you:

- Wish to appear at the Examination
- Wish to be notified that the draft charging schedule has been submitted to the Examiner in accordance with section 212 the Planning Act 2008
- Wish to be notified of the publication of the recommendations of the Examiner and the reasons for those recommendations
- Wish to be notified of the adoption of the charging schedule by the charging authority.