

Design Survey Collect Responses Analyze Results

- [View Summary](#)
- [Browse Responses](#)
- [Filter Responses](#)
- [Crosstab Responses](#)
- [Download Responses](#)
- [Share Responses](#)

Default Report

110/3730

Displaying 22 of 22 respondents

Response Type:
Anonymous Response

Collector:
Tiverton Eastern Urban Extension
(Web Link)

Custom Value:
empty

IP Address:
empty

Response Started:
Thursday, May 30, 2013 11:32:53 PM

Response Modified:
Friday, May 31, 2013 11:48:38 PM

1. Do you feel that the balance between employment and housing development is appropriate? If not, please explain.

No, I would like to see more evidence for the need for employment land, the current business park has vacant properties and with the increasing use of technology most businesses are reviewing their building needs. There is now a move to a ratio of 7 desks for 10 people to reduce office space, with more people working from home or using community hubs to connect to their 'real' office. I am pleased that the number of dwellings have been reduced but I do feel that the development should reflect the current housing, 2 storey houses and not town houses and not crammed in. It would be helpful to see the census data to see the predicted birth rates. I also question the need for a new school, we have seen previously that the Moorhayes development whilst putting pressure on Two Moors Primary School catchment the other schools in the town had free places

2. Do you have any comments on the vision within the Options Report?

The vision reads well but in reality without the funding for the infrastructure, Blundells Road will be the main route for an extra 2000 cars and possibly HGV's to the employment land and the recycling unit. It is vital that a slipway from the North Devon Link Road (A361) is built prior to the development.

3. The masterplan will need to take account of and enhance natural/environmental features of the site and its surroundings. Are there existing environmental features you would particularly like to be protected or enhanced?

It is important to protect the Grand Western Canal from the development as this is one of the few tourist attractions that Tiverton offers, this needs to be a rural walk not miles of walking through a housing estate.

1. How do you think the energy needs of the development should be met? Do you support the inclusion of an energy centre and district heating scheme?

I do not approve of the energy centre and believe that recycling should be concentrated in Ashleigh and Uffculme whilst waste that needs to be burnt should be taken to the new incinerator in Exeter. I am also nervous about district heating schemes, I presume they would still have to have the back up of the national grid for electricity and gas to cater for the downtime of the energy centre. Another reservation would be that without the road from the A361 this traffic would have to travel along Blundells road through Blundells School to the energy centre

2. The development will increase traffic. How should this be dealt with and where should the new highway junction to the A361 North Devon Link Road be located? How should the traffic calming and environmental enhancement of Blundell's Road take place? When should highway improvements be provided?

I approve of the purple bridge but the road needs to join up with the discarded pink route and on through Tiverton Business Park (Lowman Way) this would ensure the heavy employment traffic stays on the Park. The purple road should not join Blundells Road. Blundells Road would then service the residential traffic, as for traffic calming, possibly a 20mph limit through Blundells School. The new road infrastructure needs to be in place before the development begins.

3. The residential element will provide a range of housing types and affordability. What types of housing would you like to see? Where should it be located?

As stated before I would prefer the residential development to be in keeping with the current housing already in Post Hill and line both sides of Blundells Road, I do not want the employment land to be developed up to the north side of Blundells Road. I feel this will totally destroy the rural feel that we currently have and not reflect the vision statement. I understand the need for social housing but this will be higher density and should be positioned further into the estate, with an aging population I can see an increasing requirement for sheltered accommodation and maybe this could be in the form of 'nice' flats with warden control. Location should be either side of Blundells Road

4. The urban extension proposes employment floorspace. What types of employment should this include and where should it be located?

I would prefer that the employment land joined the current Tiverton Business Park and was not so large. I think heavy industrial/manufacturing should be concentrated near the M5 at junction 27. Therefore this land would be office, retail and light manufacturing

1. The masterplan will provide major areas of green and recreational space. What should this include?

The green and recreational land should provide sufficient play areas for the children, including areas where they can play football, netball or tennis. However this should not be to the extent of taking trade away from the Leisure Centre. There should be nice walks and cycle paths to join up with the Canal and old railway line.

2. The local centre is proposed to include local shopping facilities, a community hall and provision for children and youth facilities. Where should it be located? Are there any community facilities or other services you would like to see in the local centre?

Tiverton is not a large town so I am a little nervous about duplicating facilities leading to trade being taken from the older version, I also feel that we should make better use of the facilities that the current schools have and places like Moorhays Community Centre and Heathcoat Hall. To stop short journeys in the car there should be a local shop/post office and maybe a place to eat/drink

3. Please comment on the two emerging development options. Of the two options put forward, do you have a preference?

To be honest it is difficult to compare the options as they do not have the same background mapping (this really needs to be specified at the start of the process). Option 1 - I do not like the employment land being developed right up to Blundells road (North) and I do not want the purple road joining Blundells Road. I do like the mixed use land being opposite Post Hill. Option 2 - I do not approve of the area of employment within the residential area to the south of Blundells Road, there is no explanation as to what this will be. I think both options need more work and at this point in time I favour Option 1.

4. Overall, do you agree with the proposed scope and content of the proposed masterplan document as shown on page 24 of the Options Report?

The Masterplan document is a start but requires further investigation in most areas.

1. Do you have any other comments to make on the proposed masterplan document?

The document is a start but the consultation meetings were not thought through, they were crowded and you had to wait ages to talk to a planner. The fact extra dates had to be added showed that the council assumed there wouldn't be any interest. It needs to be reviewed and the land owner of the ransom strip needs to be approached again.

2. Are you

c) A member of the public

1. Members of the public only - do you live...

a) Within the boundary of the allocated site at Post Hill

2. In order for us to register your representation please provide your name

Gill Ware

3. In order for us to register your representation please provide your postal address

House No. - 2 Mayfair

Town - Tiverton

Postcode - EX16 4NQ