

Customer Feedback

Design Survey

Collect Responses

Analyze Results

- [View Summary](#)
- [Browse Responses](#)
- [Filter Responses](#)
- [Crosstab Responses](#)
- [Download Responses](#)
- [Share Responses](#)

Default Report

Displaying 25 of 152 respondents

Response Type:
Normal Response

Collector:
Web Link
(Web Link)

Custom Value:
empty

IP Address:
194.176.105.9

Response Started:
Friday, February 21, 2014 8:22:05 AM

Response Modified:
Friday, February 21, 2014 8:48:10 AM

1. Do you agree with the Vision and Spatial Strategy?

No

This new build plan should not involve villages

2. Draft Policies S1 and S2 set out the Council's approach and priorities for sustainable development. What is your opinion about these policies?

Object

This plan should not involve rural communities

1. Policy S3 includes two options for the distribution of development over the plan period (2013-2033). The first option is to continue focusing development in the three main towns according to their current sizes and roles. The second option is to provide for long-term growth (post-2026) in a new community. A separate question deals with the location of a new community, but please indicate your opinion in principle below. Which option do you prefer and are there alternative options we should consider?

Other

I seriously think Mid-devon is not suitable for more housing. Mid-devon has nothing going for it. The youngsters in our communities are looking to move out of Mid Devon for jobs and careers - why build more houses when they are not needed - who's making all the money out of this?

2. If the Council chooses to pursue Option 2 under Policy S3 (the new community option), which location would you prefer and why?

Other

People who choose to live in Mid-devon choose to do so because they have the means to commute to well paid jobs, or have jobs within walking distance. If you build a new community - what jobs are you creating for its inhabitants

1. Policies S4-S6 are strategic housing policies setting out a potential target for annual rates of housing across the district, the proportion to be affordable and adaptable, the amount of public open space to be provided, and the Council's approach to ensuring housing delivery. Do you support these policies or have suggestions on how they could be improved?

Object

There is no such thing as "affordable" living in Mid-Devon. Strategic housing would be to plan on the fringes of large towns and cities - such as Cranbrook near Exeter.

2. Economy and Infrastructure policies are set out in Policies S7-S9 of the options document. These propose 154,000 square metres of commercial floorspace (including retailing) over the plan period (2013-2033) and set out the Council's approach to town centres and infrastructure delivery. Do you support these policies or have suggestions about how they could be improved?

Support

Keep all commercial building near Motorway junctions, and do not bring heavy traffic through minor roads that are already over used.

1. Policy S10 seeks to sustain the quality of Mid Devon's environmental assets and minimise the effects of development on climate change. Do you support this policy and do you have suggestions about how it could be improved?

Support

No building in past or present green belt or in areas of outstanding natural beauty (such as the Blackdown Hills and the recent affordable housing built off the Culmstock road in Hemmyock). This development has not sustained environmental assets and local inhabitants now have a loss of lifestyle quality through losing light and near/far distant views across the culm valley

2. Policies S11-S15 set out strategic policies for the towns, villages and countryside, including expected annual rates of development for the towns which can vary depending on the options discussed under Policy S3 (amount and distribution of development). Do you support these policies and do you have suggestions about how they can be improved?

Object

This policy should not include villages and countryside - they should not even be in the running for strategic development

1. The Site Allocations section of the Local Plan Review options document includes numerous options for development sites in the towns and villages. These exceed the amount of development likely to be required, but the Council wishes properly to consider all the available sites before making decisions on which are most appropriate. Please tell us your opinion on specific sites in this chapter of the plan, continuing on a separate sheet if necessary. Providing detailed reasons for any objections will help us evaluate and compare the suitability of different options.

It absolutely amazes myself and local residents of Hemyock how on earth you can build affordable houses in a rural village. The currently pushed through affordable housing development off the Culmstock road has changed the residents lives on the "Wilson" Development of 14 yrs past. These residents bought in executive housing bordering countryside in safe cul-de-sac environments for family living - whilst having to commute to jobs to earn the wages to support life without regular public transport and enjoy coming home to stress free life in a quiet area. This is what money buys. There are enough houses coming up for sale in the private market now, and with help-to-buy there is no need to provide new houses in rural villages. If the council go ahead and develop the Culmstock road development in Hemyock - I suggest they budget in serious amounts of compensation to the owners in Simcoe, and Conigar Close. We as a household in Conigar Close have already spent well over £2,000 for privacy shutters in order that the new houses do not look into our previously private living areas of our 1999 private New Build Executive-style detached house - What a joke!

2. The Council adopted its Development Management Local Plan (LP3) in October 2013. This plan is included in the options consultation without modification, but pages 102-107 explain where amendments or new policies are being considered. Do you have anything to say about the amendments or new policies being considered, or comments about the existing adopted policies included within the consultation document?

Lets face it - we don't really get a say

1. NOTE: RESPONSES CANNOT BE REGISTERED WITHOUT A NAME AND ADDRESS Name

Mrs Alison Knight

2. Please provide your postal address

House No - Kingfishers

Address 1 - 4, Conigar Close

Address 2 - Hemyock

Town - Nr Cullompton

Postcode - EX15 3RE