

Customer Feedback

Design Survey

Collect Responses

Analyze Results

- [View Summary](#)
- [Browse Responses](#)
- [Filter Responses](#)
- [Crosstab Responses](#)
- [Download Responses](#)
- [Share Responses](#)

Default Report

Displaying 41 of 152 respondents

Response Type:
Normal Response

Collector:
Web Link
(Web Link)

Custom Value:
empty

IP Address:
86.154.187.180

Response Started:
Saturday, March 1, 2014 12:11:54 PM

Response Modified:
Friday, March 7, 2014 1:13:30 PM

1. Do you agree with the Vision and Spatial Strategy?

Yes

As an aspiration the majority of objectives are supported but delivery is entirely a different matter. However, in terms of carbon emissions and greener living is totally a different issue. It has turned into a popular buzz word topic in which to hide behind. To make a difference it has to be a way of life and technically we really have to understand the bottom line advantages and deliver an economic product.

2. Draft Policies S1 and S2 set out the Council's approach and priorities for sustainable development. What is your opinion about these policies?

Support

A large amount of objectives to get one's head round but would broadly be supportive. Humans, animals, wildlife etc need to live together so the use of our land just has to be a balance. As we live in a rural area we must give food production & local distribution as a priority. It makes sense to reduce unnecessary travel miles and farmers need to be paid better for their core business rather than rely on the diversity of subsidised and ineffective energy generation.

1. Policy S3 includes two options for the distribution of development over the plan period (2013-2033). The first option is to continue focusing development in the three main towns according to their current sizes and roles. The second option is to provide for long-term growth (post-2026) in a new community. A separate question deals with the location of a new community, but please indicate your opinion in principle below. Which option do you prefer and are there alternative options we should consider?

Option 1: Continue to focus most development in the towns up to 2033

2. If the Council chooses to pursue Option 2 under Policy S3 (the new community option), which location would you prefer and why?

Option 2(b) - East Cullompton (east of Junction 28)

1. Policies S4-S6 are strategic housing policies setting out a potential target for annual rates of housing across the district, the proportion to be affordable and adaptable, the amount of public open space to be provided, and the Council's approach to ensuring housing delivery. Do you support these policies or have suggestions on how they could be improved?

Support

Again I would broadly support the objectives but would like to understand how MDDC came up with the numbers. A 20 year long term plan is not unreasonable but I would like to think there would be a 5 year to review to make adjustments but as always the devil is in the detail.

2. Economy and infrastructure policies are set out in Policies S7-S9 of the options document. These propose 154,000 square metres of commercial floorspace (including retailing) over the plan period (2013-2033) and set out the Council's approach to town centres and infrastructure delivery. Do you support these policies or have suggestions about how they could be improved?

Support

Broadly supportive of policies F7 to F9. Need to focus on redevelopment of current premises in and around towns. More retail parks out of existing towns will just cause towns to die.

1. Policy S10 seeks to sustain the quality of Mid Devon's environmental assets and minimise the effects of development on climate change. Do you support this policy and do you have suggestions about how it could be improved?

Other

Supportive of most of document however. Climate change 2.42 gives indigestion and nationally a mess without being thought through to types of technology and best siting etc. Development in general is driven by subsidies without evaluation on pay back and efficiency. There is not a fit all situation but surely at least in Devon there should be an overall and co-ordinated approach. e.g. North Devon allows a wind turbine a few hundred metres away Mid Devon rejects.

2. Policies S11-S15 set out strategic policies for the towns, villages and countryside, including expected annual rates of development for the towns which can vary depending on the options

discussed under Policy S3 (amount and distribution of development). Do you support these policies and do you have suggestions about how they can be improved?

Object

There is so much information in Policies S11-S15 that a blanket support or object just would not cover. I therefore object to the question rather than all the policies also I am not in a position to comment on the individual town development although I will comment on S14 Rural in next section. However if under this general section it encompasses Policy J27 then I unreservedly would object to this proposal in strongest possible terms

1. The Site Allocations section of the Local Plan Review options document includes numerous options for development sites in the towns and villages. These exceed the amount of development likely to be required, but the Council wishes properly to consider all the available sites before making decisions on which are most appropriate. Please tell us your opinion on specific sites in this chapter of the plan, continuing on a separate sheet if necessary. Providing detailed reasons for any objections will help us evaluate and compare the suitability of different options.

I would like to comment on the S14 Policy Rural and in particular Chawleigh page 94. I need also to declare I currently serve on the Chawleigh Parish Council and the views expressed are my personal views and is not an official endorsement by the Council. I have lived in Chawleigh for the last 15 years and whilst now retired in terms of paid work previously worked in Chumleigh so I know the area well and would like to Control the development of Chawleigh's destiny rather than have changes enforced. Chawleigh's development has been evolutionary over the years and clearly this should continue as I would not like to see a revolutionary or major step change to the development of the village. In my opinion it would be a disaster to the village character if it took on a Coplestone development as opposed to a Bow development approach which visually looks much better. In principal I would support sensible development of both Tower Meadows and the Barton but I would question what evidence and the need for 56 properties to add to the three where Planning permission has been granted. If there is a proven local need that is fine but to just build properties without a clear market then people will buy them just like the M25 analogy. If there is a road it will be used and escalates from there. I would also be concerned if any major development was to be considered outside the immediate settlement area. It is very clear speaking with locals we need. 1. Requirement for more affordable/Low Price housing for young people and future generations 2. Affordable whatever this means? Complex subject with so many options to consider 3. What the locals really need is low price/reasonably priced suitable housing to buy or maybe rent 4. Community self build could be an option as there appears to be some interest. 5. Wish to develop village in a controlled way to maintain viability for village keeping its charm with ability of residents to work locally or travel further afield due to reasonable transport links of road, rail & bus. 6. The main question is how can MDDC assist in meeting these general objectives? 7. Clearly reasonable profit for Landowner/Developer is not a dirty word. If land was sold on at an acceptable price, how can the village with market forces deliver on objectives 1 to 5 8. Is it possible working with MDDC Planning to put some development conditions on buying and selling of the properties by introducing some form of restrictive covenant thereby indirectly limiting the price? In summary I would be personally supportive of limited and controlled development of the two sites if with compromise the objectives 1 to 5 good be delivered and would welcome MDDC support to facilitate this

2. The Council adopted its Development Management Local Plan (LP3) in October 2013. This plan is included in the options consultation without modification, but pages 102-107 explain where amendments or new policies are being considered. Do you have anything to say about the amendments or new policies being considered, or comments about the existing adopted policies included within the consultation document?

Clearly you need quite a bit of time to digest these plans & Policies and realistically focus will be in the area of direct interest or areas in which may effect you. It would be good to see more of a brief executive summary with reference to the other documents to drill down to as and when necessary

1. NOTE: RESPONSES CANNOT BE REGISTERED WITHOUT A NAME AND ADDRESS Name

H J Lower

2. Please provide your postal address

House No - YARBURY

Address 1 - Chawleigh

Address 2 - Chawleigh

Town - Chumleigh

Postcode - Ex18 7HL
