

Customer Feedback

Design Survey

Collect Responses

Analyze Results

View Summary

Default Report

Browse Responses

Filter Responses

Displaying 43 of 152 respondents

Crosstab Responses

Download Responses

Share Responses

Response Type:
Normal Response

Collector:
Web Link
(Web Link)

Custom Value:
empty

IP Address:
81.154.160.60

Response Started:
Saturday, March 1, 2014 4:11:51 PM

Response Modified:
Friday, March 7, 2014 9:33:21 AM

1. Do you agree with the Vision and Spatial Strategy?

No

2. Draft Policies S1 and S2 set out the Council's approach and priorities for sustainable development. What is your opinion about these policies?

Object

1. Policy S3 includes two options for the distribution of development over the plan period (2013-2033). The first option is to continue focusing development in the three main towns according to their current sizes and roles. The second option is to provide for long-term growth (post-2026) in a new community. A separate question deals with the location of a new community, but please indicate your opinion in principle below. Which option do you prefer and are there alternative options we should consider?

No Response

2. If the Council chooses to pursue Option 2 under Policy S3 (the new community option), which location would you prefer and why?

No Response

1. Policies S4-S6 are strategic housing policies setting out a potential target for annual rates of housing across the district, the proportion to be affordable and adaptable, the amount of public open space to be provided, and the Council's approach to ensuring housing delivery. Do you support these policies or have suggestions on how they could be improved?

No Response

2. Economy and Infrastructure policies are set out in Policies S7-S9 of the options document. These propose 154,000 square metres of commercial floorspace (including retailing) over the plan period (2013-2033) and set out the Council's approach to town centres and infrastructure delivery. Do you support these policies or have suggestions about how they could be improved?

No Response

1. Policy S10 seeks to sustain the quality of Mid Devon's environmental assets and minimise the effects of development on climate change. Do you support this policy and do you have suggestions about how it could be improved?

No Response

2. Policies S11-S15 set out strategic policies for the towns, villages and countryside, including expected annual rates of development for the towns which can vary depending on the options discussed under Policy S3 (amount and distribution of development). Do you support these policies and do you have suggestions about how they can be improved?

No Response

1. The Site Allocations section of the Local Plan Review options document includes numerous options for development sites in the towns and villages. These exceed the amount of development likely to be required, but the Council wishes properly to consider all the available sites before making decisions on which are most appropriate. Please tell us your opinion on specific sites in this chapter of the plan, continuing on a separate sheet if necessary. Providing detailed reasons for any objections will help us evaluate and compare the suitability of different options.

The proposal to enlarge Hemyock by 195 dwellings will further exacerbate the problems of the roads which are clogged by huge lorries that use the village as a short cut to Dunkeswell and beyond. Pencross hill has particular problems as there is insufficient road width to allow lorries to pass in opposite directions. The medical facilities are already stretched due to size of the area covered and because of the already extended population, many of whom are aged. The local primary school does not cater for all the needs of all the children, some have to travel to Culmestock. The river Culme has already flooded six times in the past three months alone and other roads into the village have flooded causing traffic disruption. The plan to build housing, in particular, on the north side of the Culmbridge road, would increase the possibility of worse flooding. There are plans already agreed to build housing on the site of the old railway station. If further

housing is required then consideration should be given to building on the west side of the village, as proposed, at west of Conigar close. The adjacent field has also been designated an area for extension by the parish council years ago. The people of this village were shocked by the size of the proposed buildings especially as housing stock has been increased so much in recent years.

2. The Council adopted its Development Management Local Plan (LP3) in October 2013. This plan is included in the options consultation without modification, but pages 102-107 explain where amendments or new policies are being considered. Do you have anything to say about the amendments or new policies being considered, or comments about the existing adopted policies included within the consultation document?

No Response

1. NOTE: RESPONSES CANNOT BE REGISTERED WITHOUT A NAME AND ADDRESS Name

Edward Fisher

2. Please provide your postal address

House No - 5

Address 1 - Mill Leal

Address 2 - Hemyock

Town - Cullompton

Postcode - Ex15 3TD.

