

Customer Feedback

Design Survey Collect Responses **Analyze Results**

- [View Summary](#)
- [Browse Responses](#)
- [Filter Responses](#)
- [Crosstab Responses](#)
- [Download Responses](#)
- [Share Responses](#)

Default Report

Displaying 44 of 152 respondents

Response Type: Normal Response	Collector: Web Link (Web Link)
Custom Value: empty	IP Address: 81.158.56.157
Response Started: Saturday, March 1, 2014 9:03:10 PM	Response Modified: Saturday, March 1, 2014 10:04:46 PM

1. Do you agree with the Vision and Spatial Strategy?

No

By adding 216 houses to Coppelstone will change the dynamics of the village completely. The outstanding village school does not seem equipped for the large amount of extra children who will be in the catchment to attend. This could cause crowded classrooms and a lower quality of learning for pupils attending due to teachers having less one on one time with the pupils, due to the increase in numbers. There are already a high amount of unoccupied letting properties available in Coppelstone alongside the many for sale, I cannot see the demand or necessity for an extra 216 properties to be built

2. Draft Policies S1 and S2 set out the Council's approach and priorities for sustainable development. What is your opinion about these policies?

Object

1. Policy S3 includes two options for the distribution of development over the plan period (2013-2033). The first option is to continue focusing development in the three main towns according to their current sizes and roles. The second option is to provide for long-term growth (post-2026) in a new community. A separate question deals with the location of a new community, but please indicate your opinion in principle below. Which option do you prefer and are there alternative options we should consider?

Option 2: Focus development after 2026 in a new community

Focus on creating new communities rather than destroying the beautiful appeal our village of Coppelstone has. Build a new community with a well equipped school to cater for the capacity of children in that new local area rather than putting the extra strain on the school that is outstanding in its current state

2. If the Council chooses to pursue Option 2 under Policy S3 (the new community option), which location would you prefer and why?

Option 2(a) - Land at Junction 27 and adjoining Willand

1. Policies S4-S6 are strategic housing policies setting out a potential target for annual rates of housing across the district, the proportion to be affordable and adaptable, the amount of public open space to be provided, and the Council's approach to ensuring housing delivery. Do you support these policies or have suggestions on how they could be improved?

Support

2. Economy and infrastructure policies are set out in Policies S7-S9 of the options document. These propose 154,000 square metres of commercial floorspace (including retailing) over the plan period (2013-2033) and set out the Council's approach to town centres and infrastructure delivery. Do you support these policies or have suggestions about how they could be improved?

Other

I believe these policies could work well in the town of culmpton for instance who already have the capacity to benefit from the development of commercial floors pace. However Coppelstone has no need for commercial floorspace, people move to this quiet village because of the appeal of it being a quiet village. if we wanted commercial facilities we would be living in crediton or Exeter.

1. Policy S10 seeks to sustain the quality of Mid Devon's environmental assets and minimise the effects of development on climate change. Do you support this policy and do you have suggestions about how it could be improved?

Support

2. Policies S11-S15 set out strategic policies for the towns, villages and countryside. Including expected annual rates of development for the towns which can vary depending on the options discussed under Policy S3 (amount and distribution of development). Do you support these policies and do you have suggestions about how they can be improved?

Object

1. The Site Allocations section of the Local Plan Review options document includes numerous options for development sites in the towns and villages. These exceed the amount of development

likely to be required, but the Council wishes properly to consider all the available sites before making decisions on which are most appropriate. Please tell us your opinion on specific sites in this chapter of the plan, continuing on a separate sheet if necessary. Providing detailed reasons for any objections will help us evaluate and compare the suitability of different options.

I believe there is not the demand nor the necessity to add 216 houses to Copplestone. The dynamics of the community would change completely and I believe the school would suffer from the strain of the extra children brought to the catchment. I moved to this village because it's a small, community based village with an outstanding school for my children, if we wanted to live in a town with commercial facilities there is Crediton or Exeter, there is no need nor demand to build here. By building a new development elsewhere with a school that is equipped for the capacity of houses built, would allow both our current school and a new school a higher chance of achieving.

2. The Council adopted its Development Management Local Plan (LP3) in October 2013. This plan is included in the options consultation without modification, but pages 102-107 explain where amendments or new policies are being considered. Do you have anything to say about the amendments or new policies being considered, or comments about the existing adopted policies included within the consultation document?

No Response

1. NOTE: RESPONSES CANNOT BE REGISTERED WITHOUT A NAME AND ADDRESS Name

Hannah Carter

2. Please provide your postal address

House No - 20

Address 1 - Station Road

Town - Copplestone

Postcode - EX17 5HN

