

Customer Feedback

Design Survey

Collect Responses

Analyze Results

View Summary

Default Report

Browse Responses

Filter Responses

Displaying 76 of 152 respondents

Crosstab Responses

Download Responses

Share Responses

Response Type:  
Normal Response

Collector:  
Web Link  
(Web Link)

Custom Value:  
empty

IP Address:  
86 131 153 209

Response Started:  
Sunday, March 16, 2014 4 10:38 PM

Response Modified:  
Sunday, March 16, 2014 4:37:19 PM

**1. Do you agree with the Vision and Spatial Strategy?**

No

Specifically looking at the proposed land allocation in the village of Chanton Bishop - this would triple the size of the village. There does not seem to have been any consideration given to what is a feasible and sustainable development of a community (especially towards a master plan). I am in favour of developing communities and would prefer to see land allocation capped and phased based around a consultation with the communities affected

**2. Draft Policies S1 and S2 set out the Council's approach and priorities for sustainable development. What is your opinion about these policies?**

Other

The draft policies seem to indicate that sustainability is at the core. However, if a community of 250 or so houses is to have land allocated that could add another 500 or so houses to the community, this seems to completely negate the policy. I would not support such a policy if unjustified land allocation was taking place, without the prior consultation of the local community, and with accompanying surveys of the local environment, infrastructure and demography.

**1. Policy S3 includes two options for the distribution of development over the plan period (2013-2033). The first option is to continue focusing development in the three main towns according to their current sizes and roles. The second option is to provide for long-term growth (post-2026) in a new community. A separate question deals with the location of a new community, but please indicate your opinion in principle below. Which option do you prefer and are there alternative options we should consider?**

Other

You should consider the sustainable development of local communities, looking to invest in the rural infrastructure, rather than on big developments. So if the area is predicted to need 15% extra housing then you should attempt to grow communities to that degree rather than a few big developments which would further polarise our area.

**2. If the Council chooses to pursue Option 2 under Policy S3 (the new community option), which location would you prefer and why?**

Other

Same reasons as above

**1. Policies S4-S6 are strategic housing policies setting out a potential target for annual rates of housing across the district, the proportion to be affordable and adaptable, the amount of public open space to be provided, and the Council's approach to ensuring housing delivery. Do you support these policies or have suggestions on how they could be improved?**

Other

Please see previous comments

**2. Economy and infrastructure policies are set out in Policies S7-S9 of the options document. These propose 154,000 square metres of commercial floorspace (including retailing) over the plan period (2013-2033) and set out the Council's approach to town centres and infrastructure delivery. Do you support these policies or have suggestions about how they could be improved?**

Other

Again, look at how the creation of commercial hubs can be used to support communities, infrastructure, and sustainability. Do not focus on large unsustainable developments hoping that if you build them, companies will come.

**1. Policy S10 seeks to sustain the quality of Mid Devon's environmental assets and minimise the effects of development on climate change. Do you support this policy and do you have suggestions about how it could be improved?**

Support

These are good words. However, I would like to see how you will turn it from a manifesto to a strategy that can be achieved - protecting communities, the environment and providing a foundation for sustainable growth

**2. Policies S11-S15 set out strategic policies for the towns, villages and countryside, including expected annual rates of development for the towns which can vary depending on the options**

discussed under Policy S3 (amount and distribution of development). Do you support these policies and do you have suggestions about how they can be improved?

Other

Please see previous comments

1. The Site Allocations section of the Local Plan Review options document includes numerous options for development sites in the towns and villages. These exceed the amount of development likely to be required, but the Council wishes properly to consider all the available sites before making decisions on which are most appropriate. Please tell us your opinion on specific sites in this chapter of the plan, continuing on a separate sheet if necessary. Providing detailed reasons for any objections will help us evaluate and compare the suitability of different options.

I have already raised my objections previously in this questionnaire to the proposed allocation of land in Cherton Bishop.

2. The Council adopted its Development Management Local Plan (LP3) in October 2013. This plan is included in the options consultation without modification, but pages 102-107 explain where amendments or new policies are being considered. Do you have anything to say about the amendments or new policies being considered, or comments about the existing adopted policies included within the consultation document?

No Response

1. NOTE: RESPONSES CANNOT BE REGISTERED WITHOUT A NAME AND ADDRESS Name

Robin Jackson

2. Please provide your postal address

House No. - Mardon Cottage

Address 1 - Cherton Bishop

Town - Exeter

Postcode - EX6 6JH

