

Customer Feedback

Design Survey Collect Responses **Analyze Results**

- [View Summary](#)
- [Browse Responses](#)
- [Filter Responses](#)
- [Crosslab Responses](#)
- [Download Responses](#)
- [Share Responses](#)

Default Report

Displaying 90 of 152 respondents

Response Type: Normal Response	Collector: Web Link (Web Link)
Custom Value: empty	IP Address: 86.142.57.32
Response Started: Tuesday, March 18, 2014 1:27 11 PM	Response Modified: Tuesday, March 18, 2014 1:49:29 PM

1. Do you agree with the Vision and Spatial Strategy?

Yes

I agree with the general principles of sustained development, but 3000 homes on good farm land (CU11) for Cullompton will swamp the area and destroy the rural environment. However some homes are required but not to that level!

2. Draft Policies S1 and S2 set out the Council's approach and priorities for sustainable development. What is your opinion about these policies?

Support

1. Policy S3 includes two options for the distribution of development over the plan period (2013-2033). The first option is to continue focusing development in the three main towns according to their current sizes and roles. The second option is to provide for long-term growth (post-2026) in a new community. A separate question deals with the location of a new community, but please indicate your opinion in principle below. Which option do you prefer and are there alternative options we should consider?

Option 1 Continue to focus most development in the towns up to 2033

2. If the Council chooses to pursue Option 2 under Policy S3 (the new community option), which location would you prefer and why?

Option 2(a) - Land at Junction 27 and adjoining Willand

As already indicated development around Cullompton to the scale proposed is not acceptable with destruction of arable land, the lack of infrastructure and loss of habitat

1. Policies S4-S6 are strategic housing policies setting out a potential target for annual rates of housing across the district, the proportion to be affordable and adaptable, the amount of public open space to be provided, and the Council's approach to ensuring housing delivery. Do you support these policies or have suggestions on how they could be improved?

Support

2. Economy and Infrastructure policies are set out in Policies S7-S9 of the options document. These propose 154,000 square metres of commercial floorspace (including retailing) over the plan period (2013-2033) and set out the Council's approach to town centres and infrastructure delivery. Do you support these policies or have suggestions about how they could be improved?

Support

1. Policy S10 seeks to sustain the quality of Mid Devon's environmental assets and minimise the effects of development on climate change. Do you support this policy and do you have suggestions about how it could be improved?

Support

2. Policies S11-S15 set out strategic policies for the towns, villages and countryside, including expected annual rates of development for the towns which can vary depending on the options discussed under Policy S3 (amount and distribution of development). Do you support these policies and do you have suggestions about how they can be improved?

Support

1. The Site Allocations section of the Local Plan Review options document includes numerous options for development sites in the towns and villages. These exceed the amount of development likely to be required, but the Council wishes properly to consider all the available sites before making decisions on which are most appropriate. Please tell us your opinion on specific sites in this chapter of the plan, continuing on a separate sheet if necessary. Providing detailed reasons for any objections will help us evaluate and compare the suitability of different options.

As far as the development in Kentsbeare, the proposed site is not acceptable for the proposed development on the grounds of: The site has access on a road that narrows and bends. It is a dangerous corner before houses are built and

risk of accidents with ingress and egress from the houses is an unacceptable risk both to car owners and to pedestrians. The only foot path to the village is through the car park and down the side entrance of the pub and the church. Unless alternative pedestrian access to the village is proposed, using this footpath will involve walking through the car park with the risk of injury from cars entering and leaving the car park. A Health and Safety hazard. The number of parking spaces that be allocated for each house is 1.7. The concern is that that for those homes with 2 cars and for those who have visitors the village car park will be used. This is already used for those working at the village school, and the hall car park is frequently full when the regular functions are held. Home occupiers will use the village hall car park to park on to the detriment of those using the village hall. It is stated on the document that on sites of 25 dwellings or more 20 square metres of children's play space per dwelling and 10 square metres of informal open space per dwelling, a major concern is that the village hall car park will be used as a playground, there is a steep slope into the car park which would make a good skate park and the dangers to children are therefore a major concern. It is unclear how the developer will address these concerns and on health and safety grounds alone I would have expected this site to be refused. There is already risk of flooding for the Church from run off from the fields, with this site developed with concrete the run off will be greater and the risk of flooding to the Church and the Churchyard therefore increased as a result. The development will adversely affect the visual impact of entering the village. Noise from the houses will impact across the village as they are high up and the noise from them, cars revving, children playing and general movement noise will be a nuisance. There are better, alternative sites in the village with better access, less noise and traffic pollution and safer play areas and car parking. Fore Street - bottom of, Machine Lane, by the existing play area, are more preferable, safer and probably cheaper.

2. The Council adopted its Development Management Local Plan (LP3) in October 2013. This plan is included in the options consultation without modification, but pages 102-107 explain where amendments or new policies are being considered. Do you have anything to say about the amendments or new policies being considered, or comments about the existing adopted policies included within the consultation document?

No Response

1. NOTE: RESPONSES CANNOT BE REGISTERED WITHOUT A NAME AND ADDRESS Name

Mr and Mrs R Downing

2. Please provide your postal address

House No. - Ra Ra Avis

Address 1 - Kentisbeare

Town - Cullompton

Postcode - EX15 2FD

