

Customer Feedback

Design Survey Collect Responses **Analyze Results**

- [View Summary](#)
- [Browse Responses](#)
- [Filter Responses](#)
- [Crosstab Responses](#)
- [Download Responses](#)
- [Share Responses](#)

Default Report

Displaying 109 of 152 respondents

<b>Response Type:</b> Normal Response	<b>Collector:</b> Web Link (Web Link)
<b>Custom Value:</b> empty	<b>IP Address:</b> 81.153.160.162
<b>Response Started:</b> Friday, March 21, 2014 3:14:08 PM	<b>Response Modified:</b> Friday, March 21, 2014 4:23:24 PM

**1. Do you agree with the Vision and Spatial Strategy?**

No

The vilages designated for limited development have very limited public transport often so limited travel to and from work is impossible without a car contrary to the principal of "Avoid significantly increased travel by car". Limited development should be contingent on an existing minimum level of public transport that allows low income households with no car to travel to and from work. Poor public transport impacts students travel to/from college, poor public transport results in significant additional car journeys

**2. Draft Policies S1 and S2 set out the Council's approach and priorities for sustainable development. What is your opinion about these policies?**

Support

1. Policy S3 includes two options for the distribution of development over the plan period (2013-2033). The first option is to continue focusing development in the three main towns according to their current sizes and roles. The second option is to provide for long-term growth (post-2026) in a new community. A separate question deals with the location of a new community, but please indicate your opinion in principle below. Which option do you prefer and are there alternative options we should consider?

Option 2: Focus development after 2026 in a new community

2. If the Council chooses to pursue Option 2 under Policy S3 (the new community option), which location would you prefer and why?

Option 2(b) - East Cullompton (east of Junction 28)

1. Policies S4-S6 are strategic housing policies setting out a potential target for annual rates of housing across the district, the proportion to be affordable and adaptable, the amount of public open space to be provided, and the Council's approach to ensuring housing delivery. Do you support these policies or have suggestions on how they could be improved?

Support

Where accessible pen space provision with spare capacity already, then further open space should be substituted with a capital sum to enhance existing provision - more sustainable than adding yet more public open space for the sake of it, in a one size fits all policy approach

2. Economy and Infrastructure policies are set out in Policies S7-S9 of the options document. These propose 154,000 square metres of commercial floorspace (including retailing) over the plan period (2013-2033) and set out the Council's approach to town centres and infrastructure delivery. Do you support these policies or have suggestions about how they could be improved?

Support

1. Policy S10 seeks to sustain the quality of Mid Devon's environmental assets and minimise the effects of development on climate change. Do you support this policy and do you have suggestions about how it could be improved?

Support

2. Policies S11-S15 set out strategic policies for the towns, villages and countryside, including expected annual rates of development for the towns which can vary depending on the options discussed under Policy S3 (amount and distribution of development). Do you support these policies and do you have suggestions about how they can be improved?

Object

Policy S14 2.65- There should be a minimum level of public transport which allows residents to travel to/from work without resorting to the car.

1. The Site Allocations section of the Local Plan Review options document includes numerous options for development sites in the towns and villages. These exceed the amount of development likely to be required, but the Council wishes properly to consider all the available sites before

making decisions on which are most appropriate. Please tell us your opinion on specific sites in this chapter of the plan, continuing on a separate sheet if necessary. Providing detailed reasons for any objections will help us evaluate and compare the suitability of different options.

Chenton Fitzpaine Glebe - this land has for decades been used as amenity land by residents, it provides a strong backdrop to the historic village centre with high quality landscape punctuated by a great oak, and if developed would have a detrimental impact on the built settlement and residents' amenity. The land has a boundary separated by a lane with the burial ground; it's current separation from the built environment affords a tranquil amenity for those visiting the burial ground. Barns Hill Close The topography of the site does not lend itself to a traditional built street scene given it's limited width, further if an additional road access onto the main "C" road is necessitated this will exacerbate the current child safety issues with 5 junctions in close proximity to the primary school. The site currently provides an open space buffer between existing residential and school areas. Chenton had an affordable housing need of 5 dwellings when last assessed; it is likely a similar current need exists. To support future needs a doubling with a local needs in perpetuity i.e. would provide a good basis given the likely low turnover rate. With a 35% affordable housing target a development of 30 dwellings would provide these 10. Either land north east of the school or at Landboat Farm would support 30, the former if allocated should provide a buffer between the school and any new development. My preference would be Landboat Farm as the land falls away and any development would be less visually intrusive. 30 new dwellings would approximate to a 12% increase in the number of residential dwellings/population of the village, any more in the plan period would likely be detrimental to the character of the community. Any development will require existing infrastructure upgrades to sewerage, pavements, public transport, school capacity. Surface water runoff all currently flows into the brook behind Drakes Meadow, then downstream to the Creedy and Exe, measures to sustain runoff at current levels should be included - in heavy downpours the brook currently floods the road at the bottom of a Rectory Hill.

2. The Council adopted its Development Management Local Plan (LP3) In October 2013. This plan is included in the options consultation without modification, but pages 102-107 explain where amendments or new policies are being considered. Do you have anything to say about the amendments or new policies being considered, or comments about the existing adopted policies included within the consultation document?

No Response

1. NOTE: RESPONSES CANNOT BE REGISTERED WITHOUT A NAME AND ADDRESS Name

P G Dunn

2. Please provide your postal address

House No. - 3

Address 1 - Drakes Meadow

Address 2 - Chenton Fitzpaine

Town - Crediton

Postcode - EX17 4HU

