

Customer Feedback

Design Survey

Collect Responses

Analyze Results

View Summary

Default Report

Browse Responses

Filter Responses

Displaying 123 of 152 respondents

Crosstab Responses

Download Responses

Share Responses

Response Type:  
Normal Response

Collector:  
Web Link  
(Web Link)

Custom Value:  
empty

IP Address:  
86.132.137.126

Response Started:  
Sunday, March 23, 2014 10:21:07 AM

Response Modified:  
Sunday, March 23, 2014 11:59:03 AM

**1. Do you agree with the Vision and Spatial Strategy?**

Yes

**2. Draft Policies S1 and S2 set out the Council's approach and priorities for sustainable development. What is your opinion about these policies?**

Support

**1. Policy S3 includes two options for the distribution of development over the plan period (2013-2033). The first option is to continue focusing development in the three main towns according to their current sizes and roles. The second option is to provide for long-term growth (post-2026) in a new community. A separate question deals with the location of a new community, but please indicate your opinion in principle below. Which option do you prefer and are there alternative options we should consider?**

Option 1. Continue to focus most development in the towns up to 2033

Option 2. Focus development after 2026 in a new community

**2. If the Council chooses to pursue Option 2 under Policy S3 (the new community option), which location would you prefer and why?**

Option 2(b) - East Cullompton (east of Junction 28)

**1. Policies S4-S6 are strategic housing policies setting out a potential target for annual rates of housing across the district, the proportion to be affordable and adaptable, the amount of public open space to be provided, and the Council's approach to ensuring housing delivery. Do you support these policies or have suggestions on how they could be improved?**

Support

**2. Economy and Infrastructure policies are set out in Policies S7-S9 of the options document. These propose 154,000 square metres of commercial floorspace (including retailing) over the plan period (2013-2033) and set out the Council's approach to town centres and infrastructure delivery. Do you support these policies or have suggestions about how they could be improved?**

Other

**1. Policy S10 seeks to sustain the quality of Mid Devon's environmental assets and minimise the effects of development on climate change. Do you support this policy and do you have suggestions about how it could be improved?**

Support

**2. Policies S11-S15 set out strategic policies for the towns, villages and countryside, including expected annual rates of development for the towns which can vary depending on the options discussed under Policy S3 (amount and distribution of development). Do you support these policies and do you have suggestions about how they can be improved?**

Support

**1. The Site Allocations section of the Local Plan Review options document includes numerous options for development sites in the towns and villages. These exceed the amount of development likely to be required, but the Council wishes properly to consider all the available sites before making decisions on which are most appropriate. Please tell us your opinion on specific sites in this chapter of the plan, continuing on a separate sheet if necessary. Providing detailed reasons for any objections will help us evaluate and compare the suitability of different options.**

T13 Exeter Hill Clearly access is a problem with the use of this site for housing. It seems scarcely desirable to add a further 55 houses to the narrow entrance to Devonshire Rise. We live at 38 Canal Hill, on a spur which by reason of its narrowness and steepness would not be suitable even as a secondary access route. This area is steep and elevated. Residents would almost inevitably have to use motor vehicles to go almost anywhere, unless they are super-fit. Or is it envisaged that this should be a part of a bus route? At present the area functions as an amenity in which the Shire horses that draw the canal boat can graze and so supports a leisure and tourist facility. It also brings the countryside into

close proximity to the town centre. While I sympathise with the owners' loss of a potential development opportunity I feel that the inconvenience of developing this site, its position in relation to a countryside park on the one side and its situation as the ingress of the unbroken countryside into the close proximity of the town centre, on the other, should protect it from becoming just another part of the urban sprawl

---

**2. The Council adopted its Development Management Local Plan (LP3) in October 2013. This plan is included in the options consultation without modification, but pages 102-107 explain where amendments or new policies are being considered. Do you have anything to say about the amendments or new policies being considered, or comments about the existing adopted policies included within the consultation document?**

---

No Response

---

**1. NOTE: RESPONSES CANNOT BE REGISTERED WITHOUT A NAME AND ADDRESS** Name

---

David Hamer

---

**2. Please provide your postal address**

---

House No - 38

Address 1 - Canal Hill

Address 2 - 38 Canal Hill

Town - Tiverton

Postcode - EX16 4HX

---

