

Customer Feedback

Design Survey Collect Responses Analyze Results

- [View Summary](#)
- [Browse Responses](#)
- [Filter Responses](#)
- [Crosstab Responses](#)
- [Download Responses](#)
- [Share Responses](#)

Default Report

Displaying 116 of 152 respondents

Response Type: Normal Response	Collector: Web Link (Web Link)
Custom Value: empty	IP Address: 87.112.106.146
Response Started: Saturday, March 22, 2014 3:56:36 PM	Response Modified: Saturday, March 22, 2014 4:39:24 PM

1. Do you agree with the Vision and Spatial Strategy?

Yes

2. Draft Policies S1 and S2 set out the Council's approach and priorities for sustainable development. What is your opinion about these policies?

Other

Agree on the whole but some rural development areas are out of character with the area

1. Policy S3 includes two options for the distribution of development over the plan period (2013-2033). The first option is to continue focusing development in the three main towns according to their current sizes and roles. The second option is to provide for long-term growth (post-2026) in a new community. A separate question deals with the location of a new community, but please indicate your opinion in principle below. Which option do you prefer and are there alternative options we should consider?

Option 1: Continue to focus most development in the towns up to 2033

2. If the Council chooses to pursue Option 2 under Policy S3 (the new community option), which location would you prefer and why?

Option 2(a) - Land at Junction 27 and adjoining Willand

1. Policies S4-S6 are strategic housing policies setting out a potential target for annual rates of housing across the district, the proportion to be affordable and adaptable, the amount of public open space to be provided, and the Council's approach to ensuring housing delivery. Do you support these policies or have suggestions on how they could be improved?

Support

2. Economy and infrastructure policies are set out in Policies S7-S9 of the options document. These propose 154,000 square metres of commercial floorspace (including retailing) over the plan period (2013-2033) and set out the Council's approach to town centres and infrastructure delivery. Do you support these policies or have suggestions about how they could be improved?

Support

1. Policy S10 seeks to sustain the quality of Mid Devon's environmental assets and minimise the effects of development on climate change. Do you support this policy and do you have suggestions about how it could be improved?

Support

2. Policies S11-S15 set out strategic policies for the towns, villages and countryside, including expected annual rates of development for the towns which can vary depending on the options discussed under Policy S3 (amount and distribution of development). Do you support these policies and do you have suggestions about how they can be improved?

Support

1. The Site Allocations section of the Local Plan Review options document includes numerous options for development sites in the towns and villages. These exceed the amount of development likely to be required, but the Council wishes properly to consider all the available sites before making decisions on which are most appropriate. Please tell us your opinion on specific sites in this chapter of the plan, continuing on a separate sheet if necessary. Providing detailed reasons for any objections will help us evaluate and compare the suitability of different options.

Kentisbeare AL/KE/1 In the above allocation there is proposed development of a parcel of land for up to 20 affordable dwelling and 500m2 of B1 floor space. We feel that this proposal is not in keeping with the village and it's surroundings and there are also other areas more suited to development within the parish. The site is highly visible due to its elevated nature overlooking the majority of the village. It would materially effect the skyline of the village and also obscure the views to the village on the main approach road. As it is located on the main road into the village it would represent the first image of the village visitors will see, as such it is totally out of character with the main part of the village that forms

the conservation area. Likewise the provision for B1 floor space in this area would again adversely impact upon the impression made by visitors to the village. There are also a number of commercial sites already around the village, 2 within a very short distance, these sites are not at 100% occupancy and have not been so for a number of years. We do not feel there is a need or requirement for more commercial development in the area and if it was to proceed it would likely remain un-occupied. The development of a true commercial area in Cullompton extending existing industrial estates would be of far greater value. Finally there are other areas within Kentisbeare that would be far better suited to development for housing, ie the land to the east of Ford Farm. This parcel of land is already adjacent to development and of no landscape value. It is far more secluded and would not impact on the nature of the village or effect the character. It would allow for better landscaping and vastly reduce the visual impact of any development.

2. The Council adopted Its Development Management Local Plan (LP3) in October 2013. This plan is included in the options consultation without modification, but pages 102-107 explain where amendments or new policies are being considered. Do you have anything to say about the amendments or new policies being considered, or comments about the existing adopted policies included within the consultation document?

No Response

1. NOTE: RESPONSES CANNOT BE REGISTERED WITHOUT A NAME AND ADDRESS Name

Mr J Sanders-Carr

2. Please provide your postal address

House No - Rectory House

Address 1 - Kentisbeare

Town - Cullompton

Postcode - EX15 2AB

