

Customer Feedback

Design Survey Collect Responses **Analyze Results**

- [View Summary](#)
- [Browse Responses](#)
- [Filter Responses](#)
- [Cross-tab Responses](#)
- [Download Responses](#)
- [Share Responses](#)

Default Report

Displaying 129 of 152 respondents

Response Type: Normal Response	Collector: Web Link (Web Link)
Custom Value: empty	IP Address: 31.50.189.47
Response Started: Sunday, March 23, 2014 3:29:08 PM	Response Modified: Sunday, March 23, 2014 4:44:56 PM

1. Do you agree with the Vision and Spatial Strategy?

No response

2. Draft Policies S1 and S2 set out the Council's approach and priorities for sustainable development. What is your opinion about these policies?

No Response

1. Policy S3 includes two options for the distribution of development over the plan period (2013-2033). The first option is to continue focusing development in the three main towns according to their current sizes and roles. The second option is to provide for long-term growth (post-2026) in a new community. A separate question deals with the location of a new community, but please indicate your opinion in principle below. Which option do you prefer and are there alternative options we should consider?

No Response

2. If the Council chooses to pursue Option 2 under Policy S3 (the new community option), which location would you prefer and why?

No Response

1. Policies S4-S6 are strategic housing policies setting out a potential target for annual rates of housing across the district, the proportion to be affordable and adaptable, the amount of public open space to be provided, and the Council's approach to ensuring housing delivery. Do you support these policies or have suggestions on how they could be improved?

No Response

2. Economy and Infrastructure policies are set out in Policies S7-S9 of the options document. These propose 154,000 square metres of commercial floorspace (including retailing) over the plan period (2013-2033) and set out the Council's approach to town centres and infrastructure delivery. Do you support these policies or have suggestions about how they could be improved?

No Response

1. Policy S10 seeks to sustain the quality of Mid Devon's environmental assets and minimise the effects of development on climate change. Do you support this policy and do you have suggestions about how it could be improved?

No Response

2. Policies S11-S15 set out strategic policies for the towns, villages and countryside, including expected annual rates of development for the towns which can vary depending on the options discussed under Policy S3 (amount and distribution of development). Do you support these policies and do you have suggestions about how they can be improved?

No Response

1. The Site Allocations section of the Local Plan Review options document includes numerous options for development sites in the towns and villages. These exceed the amount of development likely to be required, but the Council wishes properly to consider all the available sites before making decisions on which are most appropriate. Please tell us your opinion on specific sites in this chapter of the plan, continuing on a separate sheet if necessary. Providing detailed reasons for any objections will help us evaluate and compare the suitability of different options.

Any proposed building in Cherton Bishop should be off the main road (C50) through the village. Avoiding the historic part of the village, around the church and school. The Yeoford lane and Church Lane struggle with the traffic already. To add more would be dangerous. Due to inconsiderate parking and the narrowness of the lanes, lorries using sat navs get stuck and have to reverse (dangerously) sometimes damaging property in the process. Several "new" houses have been filled in Church lane over the last 10 - 15 years. In in the gardens of where there was either no car or possibly 1. Several of those have created another 1 or 2 property's, each with several cars! The lane used to be relatively safe for children to walk to school or families to walk to the church. If you really need to build anymore housing in an area where commuters have to drive (due to expensive, infrequent public transport which is very very limited) the only sensible area

would be from a new road at Woodleigh junction. If a pavement and cycle lane was added people could walk / nde to the pub, school, Drs and village shop. It would give good access from the A30, keeping excessive traffic away from the protected and narrow areas of the old village. If you work with Exeter / Teignbridge and put a park and ride facility on the Alphington / Ide side of the city people who have to drive from virtually cut off villages could at least help to keep traffic out of the city. The population appears to be mainly older people, young people leaving / not moving in because they can't afford the houses. Even if they could they would need to buy tax, insure and put fuel into cars to get to a job in Exeter / Crediton or Oakhampton that paid enough to enable them to commute!!!!!!!!!!!!

2. The Council adopted its Development Management Local Plan (LP3) in October 2013. This plan is included in the options consultation without modification, but pages 102-107 explain where amendments or new policies are being considered. Do you have anything to say about the amendments or new policies being considered, or comments about the existing adopted policies included within the consultation document?

No Response

1. NOTE: RESPONSES CANNOT BE REGISTERED WITHOUT A NAME AND ADDRESS Name

Pat Harrett

2. Please provide your postal address

House No. - Brookside

Address 1 - Church Lane

Address 2 - chenlon Bishop

Town - Exeter

Postcode - EX66HY

