

Customer Feedback

Design Survey Collect Responses Analyze Results

- [View Summary](#)
- [Browse Responses](#)
- [Filter Responses](#)
- [Crosstab Responses](#)
- [Download Responses](#)
- [Share Responses](#)

Default Report

Displaying 128 of 152 respondents

Response Type: Normal Response	Collector: Web Link (Web Link)
Custom Value: empty	IP Address: 66.172.82.163
Response Started: Sunday, March 23, 2014 2:34:39 PM	Response Modified: Sunday, March 23, 2014 3:03:58 PM

1. Do you agree with the Vision and Spatial Strategy?

No

2. Draft Policies S1 and S2 set out the Council's approach and priorities for sustainable development. What is your opinion about these policies?

Other

1. Policy S3 includes two options for the distribution of development over the plan period (2013-2033). The first option is to continue focusing development in the three main towns according to their current sizes and roles. The second option is to provide for long-term growth (post-2026) in a new community. A separate question deals with the location of a new community, but please indicate your opinion in principle below. Which option do you prefer and are there alternative options we should consider?

Option 1 - Continue to focus most development in the towns up to 2033

2. If the Council chooses to pursue Option 2 under Policy S3 (the new community option), which location would you prefer and why?

Option 2(a) - Land at Junction 27 and adjoining Willand

1. Policies S4-S6 are strategic housing policies setting out a potential target for annual rates of housing across the district, the proportion to be affordable and adaptable, the amount of public open space to be provided, and the Council's approach to ensuring housing delivery. Do you support these policies or have suggestions on how they could be improved?

Object

2. Economy and infrastructure policies are set out in Policies S7-S9 of the options document. These propose 154,000 square metres of commercial floorspace (including retailing) over the plan period (2013-2033) and set out the Council's approach to town centres and infrastructure delivery. Do you support these policies or have suggestions about how they could be improved?

Object

1. Policy S10 seeks to sustain the quality of Mid Devon's environmental assets and minimise the effects of development on climate change. Do you support this policy and do you have suggestions about how it could be improved?

Support

2. Policies S11-S15 set out strategic policies for the towns, villages and countryside, including expected annual rates of development for the towns which can vary depending on the options discussed under Policy S3 (amount and distribution of development). Do you support these policies and do you have suggestions about how they can be improved?

Object

Buildings for houses or employment cannot continue in such huge numbers as Britain is losing vast areas of countryside. This is not PROGRESS especially as Devon is a tourist/agricultural county

1. The Site Allocations section of the Local Plan Review options document includes numerous options for development sites in the towns and villages. These exceed the amount of development likely to be required, but the Council wishes properly to consider all the available sites before making decisions on which are most appropriate. Please tell us your opinion on specific sites in this chapter of the plan, continuing on a separate sheet if necessary. Providing detailed reasons for any objections will help us evaluate and compare the suitability of different options.

Kentisbeare St Mary's Church. Inappropriate site due to location by the 700 year old church and the comings and goings for the village hall. This is the gateway to the village and its scenic viewpoint should be retained! Infrastructure could not withstand additional residents and vehicles. Noise from the church bells and during village hall functions would not be ideal for residents that close to both buildings. Kentisbeare is one of the smaller villages that has a close community and additional building until 2033 would destroy its splendid atmosphere (Uffculme and Willand have already suffered this!)

2. The Council adopted its Development Management Local Plan (LP3) in October 2013. This plan is included in the options consultation without modification, but pages 102-107 explain where amendments or new policies are being considered. Do you have anything to say about the amendments or new policies being considered, or comments about the existing adopted policies included within the consultation document?

Although it is important to keep the building industries afloat to maintain economy and produce housing, new properties are not necessarily the way forward. Empty properties and brown field sites need to be addressed more readily. Influx of people for Cullompton, Triverton, Willand, Uffculme and Kenisbeare would stretch the infrastructure putting a strain on existing successful communities!

1. NOTE: RESPONSES CANNOT BE REGISTERED WITHOUT A NAME AND ADDRESS Name

Mr D H Date

2. Please provide your postal address

House No - 'Woodlands'

Address 1 - Kenisbeare

Town - Cullompton

Postcode - Ex15 2AA

