

Customer Feedback

Design Survey

Collect Responses

Analyze Results

- [View Summary](#)
- [Browse Responses](#)
- [Filter Responses](#)
- [Crosstab Responses](#)
- [Download Responses](#)
- [Share Responses](#)

Default Report

Displaying 127 of 152 respondents

Response Type:
Normal Response

Collector:
Web Link
(Web Link)

Custom Value:
empty

IP Address:
87.114.90.232

Response Started:
Sunday, March 23, 2014 1:51 38 PM

Response Modified:
Sunday, March 23, 2014 2:24 11 PM

1. Do you agree with the Vision and Spatial Strategy?

Not sure

2. Draft Policies S1 and S2 set out the Council's approach and priorities for sustainable development. What is your opinion about these policies?

Support

I agree that the focus on development should be on the existing towns. However, development in the identified villages should be based on the needs of those villages and should not be imposed to make the numbers up

1. Policy S3 includes two options for the distribution of development over the plan period (2013-2033). The first option is to continue focusing development in the three main towns according to their current sizes and roles. The second option is to provide for long-term growth (post-2026) in a new community. A separate question deals with the location of a new community, but please indicate your opinion in principle below. Which option do you prefer and are there alternative options we should consider?

Option 2 Focus development after 2026 in a new community

2. If the Council chooses to pursue Option 2 under Policy S3 (the new community option), which location would you prefer and why?

Option 2(b) - East Cullompton (east of Junction 28)

1. Policies S4-S6 are strategic housing policies setting out a potential target for annual rates of housing across the district, the proportion to be affordable and adaptable, the amount of public open space to be provided, and the Council's approach to ensuring housing delivery. Do you support these policies or have suggestions on how they could be improved?

Support

I am concerned about the number of gypsy and traveller sites within Mid-devon

2. Economy and infrastructure policies are set out in Policies S7-S9 of the options document. These propose 154,000 square metres of commercial floorspace (including retailing) over the plan period (2013-2033) and set out the Council's approach to town centres and infrastructure delivery. Do you support these policies or have suggestions about how they could be improved?

Support

1. Policy S10 seeks to sustain the quality of Mid Devon's environmental assets and minimise the effects of development on climate change. Do you support this policy and do you have suggestions about how it could be improved?

Object

More emphasis should be placed on the preservation of Mid Devon's natural landscape and the preservation of its cultural and historic environment

2. Policies S11-S15 set out strategic policies for the towns, villages and countryside, including expected annual rates of development for the towns which can vary depending on the options discussed under Policy S3 (amount and distribution of development). Do you support these policies and do you have suggestions about how they can be improved?

Other

Broadly agree, but am concerned about the relaxation of controls over rural development.

1. The Site Allocations section of the Local Plan Review options document includes numerous options for development sites in the towns and villages. These exceed the amount of development likely to be required, but the Council wishes properly to consider all the available sites before making decisions on which are most appropriate. Please tell us your opinion on specific sites in

this chapter of the plan, continuing on a separate sheet if necessary. Providing detailed reasons for any objections will help us evaluate and compare the suitability of different options.

Chenton Fitzpaine The policy identified 3 essential services as needing to be available: educational facility, convenience store and transport facility. There is a new primary school in the village but there is not a great amount of capacity. We currently have a shop which offers the basics, but the likelihood of this surviving is minimal. There is also a very limited transport service. I agree that some limited development is needed to sustain the village. However, this needs to be targeted at meeting the needs of the village and be appropriate in terms of number and types of housing. There should be a maximum of 30 units built. There is a definite need for low cost and affordable housing, particularly to enable younger people to stay in the village and raise families. In terms of the specific sites: 1. The Glebe Land Under no circumstances should this ever be considered for development. It is an area used by many villagers for recreational purposes all through the year from walking, children playing to tobogganing in the snow. This area should be considered for designation as a Local Green Space. In addition the topography is not suitable for building (part flood plain and very steep) and access would be a major problem. It would radically alter the shape and look of the village and be of adverse impact in that regard. 2. Land adjacent to the primary school. This area would be suitable subject to sympathetic design and a reduction of the density of units. It would also feel like a natural extension of the village complementing the housing at White Cross. Consideration would have to be given to the impact of the site on traffic particularly on the safety of children going to and from the school. 3. Land off Barnshill This site seems the most appropriate area for development, both in terms of size and location. 4. Landboat farm The whole site is far too big. It would have an adverse impact on the landscape character of the village. It may be suitable for some minimal development, but certainly not the separate rectangle parallel with Cherry Meadow. 3.

2. The Council adopted its Development Management Local Plan (LP3) in October 2013. This plan is included in the options consultation without modification, but pages 102-107 explain where amendments or new policies are being considered. Do you have anything to say about the amendments or new policies being considered, or comments about the existing adopted policies included within the consultation document?

No Response

1. NOTE: RESPONSES CANNOT BE REGISTERED WITHOUT A NAME AND ADDRESS Name

Ms C S Merchant

2. Please provide your postal address

Address 1 - Tower Hill Cottage

Address 2 - Chenton Fitzpaine

Town - Crediton

Postcode - EX17 4JE

