

Customer Feedback

Design Survey Collect Responses **Analyze Results**

- [View Summary](#)
- [Browse Responses](#)
- [Filter Responses](#)
- [Crossstab Responses](#)
- [Download Responses](#)
- [Share Responses](#)

Default Report

Displaying 136 of 152 respondents

<b>Response Type:</b> Normal Response	<b>Collector:</b> Web Link (Web Link)
<b>Custom Value:</b> empty	<b>IP Address:</b> 86.172.205.147
<b>Response Started:</b> Sunday, March 23, 2014 6:35:19 PM	<b>Response Modified:</b> Sunday, March 23, 2014 7:06:48 PM

**1. Do you agree with the Vision and Spatial Strategy?**

Not sure

**2. Draft Policies S1 and S2 set out the Council's approach and priorities for sustainable development. What is your opinion about these policies?**

Support

Eco housing options are a necessity given the need to reduce carbon footprint, particularly reducing energy consumption. It's vital that where new housing is built, there are a range of price options/types of housing available to ensure a mixed community where local youngsters and older people alike have a chance to remain in their community. Additional services are also essential, eg transport links (to avoid the trap of creating rural communities where only middle class, 2 car families can live), community facilities etc.

1. Policy S3 includes two options for the distribution of development over the plan period (2013-2033). The first option is to continue focusing development in the three main towns according to their current sizes and roles. The second option is to provide for long-term growth (post-2026) in a new community. A separate question deals with the location of a new community, but please indicate your opinion in principle below. Which option do you prefer and are there alternative options we should consider?

Option 1 Continue to focus most development in the towns up to 2033

2. If the Council chooses to pursue Option 2 under Policy S3 (the new community option), which location would you prefer and why?

No Response

1. Policies S4-S6 are strategic housing policies setting out a potential target for annual rates of housing across the district, the proportion to be affordable and adaptable, the amount of public open space to be provided, and the Council's approach to ensuring housing delivery. Do you support these policies or have suggestions on how they could be improved?

Support

2. Economy and infrastructure policies are set out in Policies S7-S9 of the options document. These propose 154,000 square metres of commercial floorspace (including retailing) over the plan period (2013-2033) and set out the Council's approach to town centres and infrastructure delivery. Do you support these policies or have suggestions about how they could be improved?

No Response

1. Policy S10 seeks to sustain the quality of Mid Devon's environmental assets and minimise the effects of development on climate change. Do you support this policy and do you have suggestions about how it could be improved?

Support

2. Policies S11-S15 set out strategic policies for the towns, villages and countryside, including expected annual rates of development for the towns which can vary depending on the options discussed under Policy S3 (amount and distribution of development). Do you support these policies and do you have suggestions about how they can be improved?

No Response

1. The Site Allocations section of the Local Plan Review options document includes numerous options for development sites in the towns and villages. These exceed the amount of development likely to be required, but the Council wishes properly to consider all the available sites before making decisions on which are most appropriate. Please tell us your opinion on specific sites in this chapter of the plan, continuing on a separate sheet if necessary. Providing detailed reasons for any objections will help us evaluate and compare the suitability of different options.

I live in Cheriton Fitzpaine and was drawn to living here some 18 years ago because of its peaceful, rural nature. It was a safe place with a strong sense of community in which to bring up children. Unfortunately bus links have been drastically

cut and it is now essential to have a car in order to live here. The majority of the working age population of the village commutes to work by car, due to lack of local employment and, as mentioned previously, extremely poor public transport. If more housing is built it will inevitably lead to an increase of traffic on narrow country lanes and will impact negatively on the quality of life of those living here, with an increase in risk to pedestrians, cyclists and pets. I am concerned that if the land adjacent to the primary school or at Landboat Farm is built on, it will distort the current shape of the village, creating a 'ribbon' type development without a central focus. As a resident of White Cross, housing built particularly on the land adjacent to the school, leading up to White Cross, would have a significant impact on my family's quality of life, in terms of increased traffic and noise. The area adjacent to Barnhill Close would be more acceptable, as it would fill a gap left by the previous construction company and this relatively central area would then link the school with the rest of the village.

---

**2. The Council adopted its Development Management Local Plan (LP3) in October 2013. This plan is included in the options consultation without modification, but pages 102-107 explain where amendments or new policies are being considered. Do you have anything to say about the amendments or new policies being considered, or comments about the existing adopted policies included within the consultation document?**

No Response

---

**1. NOTE: RESPONSES CANNOT BE REGISTERED WITHOUT A NAME AND ADDRESS Name**

Carne Clarke

---

**2. Please provide your postal address**

House No - 1

Address 1 - Whitecross Houses

Address 2 - Chenton Fitzpaine

Town - Crediton

Postcode - EX17 4HB

---

