

Dear Mr Young

Thank you for your email. I have re-read the documents including Matters and Issues.

Since this whole process began and I requested my opportunity to speak at the Hearing, it seems there have been several developments - variations - in MDDC determination to get their plans implemented, including increasing the number of houses on the proposed Sampford Peverell site, though nothing has been sent to me by the council on these aspects.

I have reconsidered document ID02, questions 12 - 16 and have the following observations in response to the Inspector's questions:

12. I do not believe this site is the best performing. It is on the distant side of the village to the proposed J27 site policy, requiring all traffic to drive through the village to get to the motorway and any development on J27.

13. It has no regard to the historic environment. The suggested gap between the old houses and the new site in no way protects the amenity of the existing village buildings. There are several other sites which would be better suited, which have been rejected by the council.

14. 60 houses built on the highest area in the village, let alone the new proposal to increase the number to 90, will do nothing to preserve, never mind improve the character and appearance of the area.

15. All access to and exit from the site is potentially dangerous, particularly for pedestrians and children.

16. We were initially told the application would be dependent on the J27 site being developed. This has now been dropped.

Please include the above hearing statement in the papers for the Inspector to consider.

Kind Regards

Simon Bartlett
2/8/17