

**From:** [crawford home email](#)  
**To:** [Planning Consultation \(DPD\)](#)  
**Subject:** Objection to amendments  
**Date:** 07 February 2020 16:32:06

---

We object to the amendments as follows

MM05

The amendment allows property developers to offload the gypsy and travellers pitches away from their main development, thus satisfying the Council of Mortgage Lenders-concerns that the pitches will affect the value and their ability to offer mortgages thereby satisfying their profits, and satisfying the councils targets of new homes and gypsy pitches. This amendment effectively de couples the locations

What is the definition of favourable, this is totally subjective and can be manipulated to satisfy the councils requirements, there is no reference to the residents, the impact it seems is totally irrelevant.

MM06 the amendment waters down protecting the environment to protecting the special qualities, this highlights that Mid Devon has no interest in protecting the environment. If significant impacts result, they will be merely mitigated. This is a get out clause. To protecting the environment it is giving carte blanche to approve whatever plans the planning dept please, again it is not quantifiable it is subjective therefore cannot be appealed against

MM48 the removal of 30 minutes from facilities via public transport has a massive impact on the rural communities.

The council have proven that they are gold plating gypsy and travellers sites and changes the rules to ensure there is zero objections which can be upheld.

The 30 minute rule will deliver the following

- It will drive carbon emissions through the use of cars as there is virtually no public transport in rural areas which is unsustainable
- It's will drive pressure on the emergency services as they will be required to travel out into the countryside rather than in locations where facilities or transport is available
- It will put pressure on the resident community because the council representatives once planning has been approved will walk away, they don't police the activities of compliance
- It will risk the security of the resident community which relies on being tight knit, of knowing who has what car and who is who, and as advised by the local constabulary emails to keep eyes and ears open for unknown people or vehicles
- It will not lead gypsies and travellers being harmonious with the resident community because the resident community won't know who they are when they are there there and will be reporting every unknown vehicle to the police
- It will lead to the gypsy and traveller community being also put in danger because the council to not ice or clear snow, the roads are unlit and poorly maintained.
- They will drive costs for the council to get transient or even long term resident gypsy travellers children to schools, doctors etc.
- It will increase disputes over flooding, there is no mains drainage in rural locations, so where exactly will the water go
- Who will police water drainage issues, the council assume residents will, it's not the resident job
- They are affective saying they are happy for gypsy travellers to be ostracised into the countryside away from facilities away from their community, or they will build gypsy pitches which overwhelm the local residents

The council have gold plated gypsy and traveller planning permission requests, they have been proven to

- Be prepared to rip out ancient devonbank hedgerows
- Have no care for the landscape, to override building on a plateau and are quite happy to ignore their own policies when it comes to these applications

- They apply a different criteria to current community residents where the council would use their policies on landscape ,sustainability, facilities and highways to block normal residential applications , for gypsy travellers they are effectively creating a “ there is nothing which can block this application “
- There is nothing in the planning which will be challenge able, the planning dept will be judge and juror
- The property developers with their large budgets will ensure they can out pay for legal representation versus rural residents

When you couple MM05 and MM48 together the council will have no reason not to approve every gypsy travellers application, they have said as much in the wording of the document , and they are effectively approving the property developers to dump the gypsy traveller camps in the rural countryside which is exactly what the property developers will do to protect the new build homes . The council appear to be happy to turn the Devon countryside in a a place littered with caravans, debris, whilst destroying the landscape which we can never get back

The council appear to have forgotten that Devon is reliant upon tourism, tourist won't want to come to a county littered with caravans

The council are making these amendments to suit their own needs and the needs of the property developers and the council of mortgage lenders and not the needs of the resident community

The local rural residents of Devon are being discriminated against .

Mrs s Crawford  
Latymer Courtenays  
Yeoford  
Crediton  
Ex175ez

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Main Mods Live Survey (Web Link)  
**Started:** Friday, February 07, 2020 4:38:25 PM  
**Last Modified:** Friday, February 07, 2020 4:41:05 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:02:40  
**IP Address:**

---

Page 3: Part A

**Q1 Personal Details**

Title	Mrs
First Name	Stephanie
Last Name	Crawford
Address Line 1	Latymer courtenays
Address Line 2	Yeoford
Address Line 3	Crediton
Post Code	EX175ez

---

**Q2 Agent Details (if applicable)**

Respondent skipped this question

---

Page 4: Part B

**Q3 Name or Organisation**

Resident of crediton hamlets

---

**Q4** To which Main Modification consultation document does this representation relate? Please tick one box only (please complete a separate survey for each document you are commenting on)

**Schedule of Proposed Main Modifications**

**Q5** Please indicate the schedule reference (e.g. MM01) in the above document and the Policy number (e.g. DM1) to which your representation relates (please complete a separate survey for each schedule reference you are commenting on):

Policy	MM48
--------	------

---

**Q6** The Local Plan Review 2013 – 2033 is required to be assessed against the tests set out in paragraph 182 of the 2012 version of the National Planning Policy Framework to establish whether it is ‘sound’ and complies with legal requirements. Please refer to the guidance notes above for further information on the tests of ‘soundness’. Do you consider the Local Plan Review to be:

	Response
Legally compliant	<b>No</b>
Positively Prepared	<b>No</b>
Justified	<b>No</b>
Effective	<b>No</b>
Consistent with national policy	<b>No</b>

**Q7** Please provide your comments below

MM48 the removal of 30 minutes from facilities via public transport has a massive impact on the rural communities.

The council have proven that they are gold plating gypsy and travellers sites and changes the rules to ensure there is zero objections which can be upheld .

The 30 minute rule will deliver the following

- It will drive carbon emissions through the use of cars as there is virtually no public transport in rural areas which is unsustainable
- It's will drive pressure on the emergency services as they will be required to travel out into the countryside rather than in locations where facilities or transport is available
- It will put pressure on the resident community because the council representatives once planning has been approved will walk away , they don't police the activities of compliance
- It will risk the security of the resident community which relies on being tight knit , of knowing who has what car and who is who , and as advised by the local constabulary emails to keep eyes and ears open for unknown people or vehicles
- It will not lead gypsies and travellers being harmonious with the resident community because the resident community won't know who they are when they are there there and will be reporting every unknown vehicle to the police
- It will lead to the gypsy and traveller community being also put in danger because the council to not ice or clear snow , the roads are unlit and poorly maintained .
- They will drive costs for the council to get transient or even long term resident gypsy travellers children to schools, doctors etc.
- It will increase disputes over flooding , there is no mains drainage in rural locations , so where exactly will the water go
- Who will police water drainage issues , the council assume residents will , it's not the resident job
- They are affective saying they are happy for gypsy travellers to be ostracised into the countryside away from facilities away from their community , or they will build gypsy pitches which overwhelm the local residents

The council have gold plated gypsy and traveller planning permission requests , they have been proven to

- Be prepared to rip out ancient devonbank hedgerows
- Have no care for the landscape , to override building on a plateau and are quite happy to ignore their own policies when it comes to these applications
- They apply a different criteria to current community residents where the council would use their policies on landscape ,sustainability, facilities and highways to block normal residential applications , for gypsy travellers they are effectively creating a " there is nothing which can block this application "
- There is nothing in the planning which will be challenge able, the planning dept will be judge and juror
- The property developers with their large budgets will ensure they can out pay for legal representation versus rural residents

When you couple MM05 and MM48 together the council will have no reason not to approve every gypsy travellers application, they have said as much in the wording of the document , and they are effectively approving the property developers to dump the gypsy traveller camps in the rural countryside which is exactly what the property developers will do to protect the new build homes . The council appear to be happy to turn the Devon countryside in a a place littered with caravans, debris, whilst destroying the landscape which we can never get back

The council appear to have forgotten that Devon is reliant upon tourism, tourist won't want to come to a county littered with caravans The council are making these amendments to suit their own needs and the needs of the property developers and the council of mortgage lenders and not the needs of the resident community

The local rural residents of Devon are being discriminated against .

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Main Mods Live Survey (Web Link)  
**Started:** Friday, February 07, 2020 4:41:42 PM  
**Last Modified:** Friday, February 07, 2020 4:43:33 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:01:50  
**IP Address:**

---

Page 3: Part A

**Q1 Personal Details**

Title	Mrs
First Name	Stephanie
Last Name	Crawford
Address Line 1	Latymer courtenays
Address Line 2	Yeoford
Address Line 3	Crediton
Post Code	Ex175ez

---

**Q2 Agent Details (if applicable)**

Respondent skipped this question

---

Page 4: Part B

**Q3 Name or Organisation**

Resident of crediton hamlets

---

**Q4** To which Main Modification consultation document does this representation relate? Please tick one box only (please complete a separate survey for each document you are commenting on)

**Schedule of Proposed Main Modifications**

**Q5** Please indicate the schedule reference (e.g. MM01) in the above document and the Policy number (e.g. DM1) to which your representation relates (please complete a separate survey for each schedule reference you are commenting on):

Policy	MM05
--------	------

---

**Q6** The Local Plan Review 2013 – 2033 is required to be assessed against the tests set out in paragraph 182 of the 2012 version of the National Planning Policy Framework to establish whether it is 'sound' and complies with legal requirements. Please refer to the guidance notes above for further information on the tests of 'soundness'. Do you consider the Local Plan Review to be:

	Response
Legally compliant	<b>No</b>
Positively Prepared	<b>No</b>
Justified	<b>No</b>
Effective	<b>No</b>
Consistent with national policy	<b>No</b>

Page 5: Part B (continued)

**Q7** Please provide your comments below

The amendment allows property developers to offload the gypsy and travellers pitches away from their main development , thus satisfying the Council of Mortgage Lenders-concerns that the pitches will affect the value and their ability to offer mortgages thereby satisfying their profits, and satisfying the councils targets of new homes and gypsy pitches

This amendment effectively de couples the locations

What is the definition of favourable , this is totally subjective and can be manipulated to satisfy the councils requirements, there is no reference to the residents , the impact is seems is totally irrelevant .