





COMPLETE

Collector: Main Mods Live Survey (Web Link)
Started: Monday, February 17, 2020 10:25:50 AM
Last Modified: Monday, February 17, 2020 10:39:17 AM
Time Spent: 00:13:27
IP Address: 

Page 3: Part A

Q1 Personal Details

Title	Mrs
First Name	Lisa
Last Name	Turner
Job title (where relevant)	AONB Planning Officer
Organisation (where relevant)	Blackdown Hills AONB Partnership
Address Line 1	Riverside
Address Line 2	Hemyock
Address Line 3	Cullompton
Post Code	EX15 3SH
Telephone	
E-mail Address	

Q2 Agent Details (if applicable)

Respondent skipped this question

Page 4: Part B

Q3 Name or Organisation

Blackdown Hills AONB Partnership

Q4 To which Main Modification consultation document does this representation relate? Please tick one box only (please complete a separate survey for each document you are commenting on)

Schedule of Proposed Main Modifications

Q5 Please indicate the schedule reference (e.g. MM01) in the above document and the Policy number (e.g. DM1) to which your representation relates (please complete a separate survey for each schedule reference you are commenting on):

Reference Code	MM07
Policy	S9

Q6 The Local Plan Review 2013 – 2033 is required to be assessed against the tests set out in paragraph 182 of the 2012 version of the National Planning Policy Framework to establish whether it is ‘sound’ and complies with legal requirements. Please refer to the guidance notes above for further information on the tests of ‘soundness’. Do you consider the Local Plan Review to be:

Respondent skipped this question


Page 5: Part B (continued)

Q7 Please provide your comments below

We support the amendment to criterion e), changing adjoining to setting. (However, see also comments in response to MM54)



COMPLETE

Collector: Main Mods Live Survey (Web Link)
Started: Monday, February 17, 2020 10:39:33 AM
Last Modified: Monday, February 17, 2020 11:31:02 AM
Time Spent: 00:51:28
IP Address: 

Page 3: Part A

Q1 Personal Details

Title	Mrs
First Name	Lisa
Last Name	Turner
Job title (where relevant)	AONB Planning Officer
Organisation (where relevant)	Blackdown Hills AONB Partnership
Address Line 1	Riverside
Address Line 2	Hemyock
Address Line 3	Cullompton
Post Code	EX15 3SH
Telephone	
E-mail Address	

Q2 Agent Details (if applicable)

Respondent skipped this question

Page 4: Part B

Q3 Name or Organisation

Blackdown Hills AONB Partnership

Q4 To which Main Modification consultation document does this representation relate? Please tick one box only (please complete a separate survey for each document you are commenting on)

Schedule of Proposed Main Modifications

Q5 Please indicate the schedule reference (e.g. MM01) in the above document and the Policy number (e.g. DM1) to which your representation relates (please complete a separate survey for each schedule reference you are commenting on):

Reference Code	MM54
Policy	DM27

Q6 The Local Plan Review 2013 – 2033 is required to be assessed against the tests set out in paragraph 182 of the 2012 version of the National Planning Policy Framework to establish whether it is ‘sound’ and complies with legal requirements. Please refer to the guidance notes above for further information on the tests of ‘soundness’. Do you consider the Local Plan Review to be:

	Response
Legally compliant	
Positively Prepared	
Justified	
Effective	
Consistent with national policy	No

Page 5: Part B (continued)

Q7 Please provide your comments below

The principle of amending this policy and the supporting paragraph 4.94 is supported, in relation to the reference to setting rather than adjoining, however as noted by the Inspector it would be helpful to see some definition of 'setting'. The use of 'affecting' as used in other legislation relating to National Parks and AONBs is supported.

Although para 4.94 is proposed to be amended, the related final part of the policy is not - is this intentional or an oversight?

It is suggested that the amendment to para 4.94 could be simplified by amending to '... proposed within protected landscapes or their setting.'

There is perhaps some difficulty with this policy wording through the inclusion of the Biosphere alongside the AONB and National Parks, which does not have the same status in planning. Thus the first part of the policy refers to all areas while the final part of the policy only refers to the AONB and National Parks.

It is further noted that the NPPF reference to major development only relates to development within AONBs/National Parks. It is suggested that applying this policy of control to a much broader area (the setting) may require some further explanation.