

15th May 2025

*Dear Ms Nesbitt,*

*Thank you for your response on Friday 9th May shortly following my visit to your office; rather than over 4 months later as per your previous actions/inactions.*

*My attendance at MDDC Reception was not about your "Breach of Conditions Notice" against me and my business property, but about a different case regarding a property called "Sunnybank".*

*If you had listened properly and taken time to understand my question you would likely not have made your postulation regarding my enquiry.*

*I am fully aware that I have not been served a "Temporary Stop Notice". I was asking about the notice that has been served upon "Sunnybank". A different property to mine but details of which has been erroneously included within the response to my SAR request. This piqued my curiosity.*

*Of course you were "informed by reception that I did not know what this was about" as it is a different property and a different case altogether relating to somebody else. You and your office have mixed two cases up.*

*Whoever owns "Sunnybank" should be informed of this error by yourselves at your earliest convenience as it has the potential to cause serious concerns and implications for all involved.*

*You imply I am "confused". Far from it.*

*I believe you and your department are confused.*

*The difference here is that the words you use are aspersions; whereas mine are based on evidence.*

*You are continuing to make an assumption as to whether I have a solicitor or planning consultant engaged in this matter.*

*My enquiry about "Sunnybank" remains unanswered.*

*You and your colleagues will receive my correspondence regarding the other matters continuing in due course.*

*Regards.*

*Mr Dean Aggett*

*AWCB*

*PC QBE*