

Sharon Chumbley

From: Enforcement Team
Subject: FW: Planning Enforcement Matters at Hillside Barn (Meadow Forge) EX16 8RZ - 20/0223/RURAL

From: Heather Nesbitt [REDACTED]
Sent: 02 June 2025 16:40
To: John Clarke [REDACTED]
Cc: Enforcement Team [REDACTED]; Development Control [REDACTED] Legal Services [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Planning Enforcement Matters at Hillside Barn (Meadow Forge) EX16 8RZ - 20/0223/RURAL

Hi John

Thank you for your email below the contents of which are noted.

Prior to the service of the BCN's legal advice was sought and given. As such the LPA is confident in their approach to this matter.

Even if a CLUED were to be submitted and accepted, it would be scrutinised as the LPA have evidence to the contrary of what your client is stating and therefore I would question their change in submissions that it was in fact a dwelling house or had continuous storage outside the premises, which they have categorically stated it was not.

This possibly raises a potential of further offences. Should a CLUED be submitted this will be looked at closely prior to any decision to validate it given the evidence we currently have is seemingly going to be very different than what you indicate your client will be submitting.

I am aware of 25/00295/CLU and the validation of this matter was an internal process again for which legal advice has been sought.

As I have previously advised Mr Aggett before, he should engage a solicitor at this stage.

Kind regards

Heather